


#2

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
(Case No. 01-491)



In re Application of: )  
 )  
David James Stevenson, et al. ) Group Art Unit: To Be Assigned  
 )  
Serial No.: To Be Assigned ) Examiner: To Be Assigned  
 )  
Filed: Concurrently Herewith )  
 )  
For: Apparatus and Method for Processing Data )  
Relating to Events on a Network )

CLAIM OF PRIORITY

Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231


Dear Sir:

Applicant in the above-identified application, through the undersigned attorney, hereby requests that the above-identified application be treated as entitled to the right accorded by Title 35, U.S. Code, Section 119, having regard to the application, which particulars are set out below:

In United Kingdom, Application No. 0104872.7, filed February 27, 2001.

Respectfully submitted.

McDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT &  
BERGHOFF

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Timothy R. Baumann  
Reg. No. 40,502

Dated: July 2, 2001

McDonnell Boehnen  
Hulbert & Berghoff  
300 S. Wacker Drive  
Suite 3200  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
(312) 913-0001


This Page Blank (uspto)

44



INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

The Patent Office  
Concept House  
Cardiff Road  
Newport  
South Wales  
NP10 8QQ



I, the undersigned, being an officer duly authorised in accordance with Section 74(1) and (4) of the Deregulation & Contracting Out Act 1994, to sign and issue certificates on behalf of the Comptroller-General, hereby certify that annexed hereto is a true copy of the documents as originally filed in connection with the patent application identified therein.

In accordance with the Patents (Companies Re-registration) Rules 1982, if a company named in this certificate and any accompanying documents has re-registered under the Companies Act 1980 with the same name as that with which it was registered immediately before re-registration save for the substitution as, or inclusion as, the last part of the name of the words "public limited company" or their equivalents in Welsh, references to the name of the company in this certificate and any accompanying documents shall be treated as references to the name with which it is so re-registered.

In accordance with the rules, the words "public limited company" may be replaced by p.l.c., plc, P.L.C. or PLC.


Re-registration under the Companies Act does not constitute a new legal entity but merely subjects the company to certain additional company law rules.



Signed: 

Dated 29 May 2001

**This Page Blank (uspto)**



**Request for grant of a patent**

(See the notes on the back of this form. You can also get an explanatory leaflet from the Patent Office to help you fill in this form)

27 FEB 2001

The Patent Office

Cardiff Road  
Newport  
South Wales  
NP9 1RH

1. Your reference

MMP/ad/3COM3542

2. Patent application number

(The Patent Office will fill in this part)

0104872.7

3. Full name, address and postcode of the or of each applicant (underline all surnames)

3COM CORPORATION

5400 BAYERONT PLAZA  
SANTA CLARA, CA 95052  
USA

Patents ADP number (if you know it)

0754628600 07714467003

If the applicant is a corporate body, give the country/state of its incorporation

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA/DELAWARE

4. Title of the invention

APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR PROCESSING DATA  
RELATING TO EVENTS ON A NETWORK

5. Name of your agent (if you have one)

BROOKES & MARTIN

"Address for service" in the United Kingdom  
to which all correspondence should be sent

HIGH HOLBORN HOUSE  
52-54 HIGH HOLBORN

(including the postcode)

LONDON  
WC1V 6SE

Patents ADP number (if you know it)

471001

6. If you are declaring priority from one or more earlier patent applications, give the country and the date of filing of the or of each of these earlier applications and (if you know it) the or each application number.

Country Priority application number Date of filing  
(if you know it) (day / month / year)

7. If this application is divided or otherwise derived from an earlier UK application, give the number and the filing date of the earlier application

Number of earlier application

Date of filing  
(day / month / year)

8. Is a statement of inventorship and of right to grant of a patent required in support of this request? (Answer 'Yes' if:

Yes

- a) any applicant named in part 3 is not an inventor, or
  - b) there is an inventor who is not named as an applicant, or
  - c) any named applicant is a corporate body.
- See note (d))

9. Enter the number of sheets of any of the following items you are filing with this form. Do not count copies of the same document

Patent Office

The Patent Office

Continuation sheets of this form

Number of sheets of:  
Description 15  
Claim(s) 5  
Abstract 1  
Drawing(s) 2

Description 15

Claim(s) 5

Abstract 1

Drawing(s) 2

request for grant of a patent  
See the notes on the back of this form. You can also get an explanatory leaflet from the Patent Office to help you fill in this form.

10. If you are also filing any of the following, state how many against each item.

Priority documents  
Translations of priority documents  
Statement of inventorship and right to grant of a patent (Patents Form 7/77) 1  
Request for preliminary examination and search (Patents Form 9/77) 1

Request for substantive examination (Patents Form 10/77) 1  
RELATING TO DATA NETWORK

Any other documents

(please specify)

11. I/We request the grant of a patent on the basis of this application.

Signature: BROOKES & MARTIN  
Date: 26 February 2001


12. Name and daytime telephone number of person to contact in the United Kingdom  
Madeline M Picker 01892 510600

**Warning**

After an application for a patent has been filed, the Comptroller of the Patent Office will consider whether publication or communication of the invention should be prohibited or restricted under Section 22 of the Patents Act 1977. You will be informed if it is necessary to prohibit or restrict your invention in this way. Furthermore, if you live in the United Kingdom, Section 23 of the Patents Act 1977 stops you from applying for a patent abroad without first getting written permission from the Patent Office unless an application has been filed at least 6 weeks beforehand in the United Kingdom for a patent for the same invention and either no direction prohibiting publication or communication has been given, or any such direction has been revoked.

**Notes**

- If you need help to fill in this form or you have any questions, please contact the Patent Office on 0645 500505.
- Write your answers in capital letters using black ink or you may type them.
- If there is not enough space for all the relevant details on any part of this form, please continue on a separate sheet of paper and write "see continuation sheet" in the relevant part(s). Any continuation sheet should be attached to this form.
- If you have answered 'Yes' Patents Form 7/77 will need to be filed.
- Once you have filled in the form you must remember to sign and date it.
- For details of the fee and ways to pay please contact the Patent Office.



**Statement of inventorship and of  
right to grant of a patent**

27 FEB 2001

The Patent Office

Cardiff Road  
Newport  
South Wales  
NP9 1RH

1. Your reference	MMP/ad/3COM3542			
2. Patent application number (if you know it)	0104872.7			
3. Full name of the or of each applicant	3COM CORPORATION			
4. Title of the invention	APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR PROCESSING DATA RELATING TO EVENTS ON A NETWORK			
5. State how the applicant(s) derived the right from the inventor(s) to be granted a patent	By virtue of Employment			
6. How many, if any, additional Patents Forms 7/77 are attached to this form? (see note (c))	1			
7.	<p>I/We believe that the person(s) named over the page (and on any extra copies of this form) is/are the inventor(s) of the invention which the above patent application relates to.</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td style="vertical-align: bottom;">Signature <i>Brookes and Martin</i> BROOKES &amp; MARTIN</td> <td style="vertical-align: bottom;">Date 26 February 2001</td> </tr> </table>		Signature <i>Brookes and Martin</i> BROOKES & MARTIN	Date 26 February 2001
Signature <i>Brookes and Martin</i> BROOKES & MARTIN	Date 26 February 2001			
8. Name and daytime telephone number of person to contact in the United Kingdom	Madeline M Picker	01892 510600		

**Notes**

- a) If you need help to fill in this form or you have any questions, please contact the Patent Office on 0645 500505.
- b) Write your answers in capital letters using black ink or you may type them.
- c) If there are more than three inventors, please write the names and addresses of the other inventors on the back of another Patents Form 7/77 and attach it to this form.
- d) When an application does not declare any priority, or declares priority from an earlier UK application, you must provide enough copies of this form so that the Patent Office can send one to each inventor who is not an applicant.
- e) Once you have filled in the form you must remember to sign and date it.

Enter the full names, addresses and postcodes of the inventors in the boxes and underline the surnames

Andrew H Gray  
9 Buchanan Crescent  
Eliburn  
Livingston  
West Lothian  
EH54 7EE

Patents ADP number (if you know it): 07856388001

Patents ADP number (if you know it):

**Reminder**

**Have you signed the form?**

Patents ADP number (if you know it):



APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR PROCESSING DATA RELATING TO  
EVENTS ON A NETWORK

5 The present invention relates generally to an apparatus and method for the management of a network, and more particularly to a network management apparatus and method which monitors a network, and generates Events when certain types of conditions are detected.

10 The following patent applications filed concurrently herewith are related to the present application and are incorporated herein by reference:

Patent application (Attorney Reference HRW/ad/3COM3584) entitled "Processing Network Events to Reduce the Number of Events to be Displayed";

15 Patent application (Attorney Reference MMP/ad/3COM3585) entitled "Network Management Apparatus and Method for Determining Network Events", and

20 Patent Application (Attorney Reference MMP/ad/3COM3544) entitled "Network Management Apparatus and Method for Processing Events associated with Device Reboot".

25 The following description is concerned with a data communications network, and in particular a local area network (LAN). It will be appreciated, however, that the invention but has more widespread applicability to other managed communications systems including wide area networks (WANs) or wireless communications systems.

30 Networks typically comprise a plurality of computers, peripherals and other electronic devices capable of communicating with each other by sending and receiving data packets in accordance with a predefined network protocol. Each computer or other device on the network is connected by a port to the network media, which in the case of a LAN network may be coaxial cable, twisted pair cable or fibre optic cable. A

network is generally configured with core devices having a plurality of ports, which can be used to interconnect a plurality of media links on the network. Such devices include hubs, routers and switches which pass data packets received at one port to one or more of its other ports, depending upon the type of device. Such core devices can  
5 be managed or unmanaged.

A managed device is capable of monitoring data packets passing through its ports and obtaining data relevant for network management. Managed devices additionally have the capability of communicating this data using a management protocol such as the  
10 SNMP (Simple Network Management Protocol), as described in more detail below. The skilled person will appreciate that the invention is not limited to use with SNMP, but can be applied to managed networks using other network management protocols.

SNMP defines agents, managers and MIBs (where MIB is Management Information  
15 Base), as well as various predefined messages and commands for data communication. An agent is present in each managed network device and stores management data and responds to requests from the manager. A manager is present within the network management station of a network and automatically interrogates the agents of managed devices on the network using various SNMP commands, to  
20 obtain information suitable for use by the network administrator, whose function is described below. A MIB is a managed "object" database which stores management data obtained by managed devices, and is accessible to agents for network management applications.

25 It is becoming increasingly common for an individual, called the "network administrator", to be responsible for network management, and his or her computer system or workstation is typically designated the network management station. The network management station incorporates the manager, as defined in the SNMP protocol, i.e. the necessary hardware, and network management software applications  
30 to retrieve data from MIBs by sending standard SNMP requests to the agents of managed devices on the network.

A part of the network administrator's function is to identify and resolve problems occurring on the network, such as device or link malfunction or failure. In order to provide the network administrator with the necessary information to identify such problems, the network management application monitors the devices on the network.

5 An example of such monitoring is described in co pending UK Patent Application No 9917993.9 entitled "Management System and Method for Monitoring Stress in a Network" in the name of the present applicant. In the system and method described in UK Patent Application No 9917993.9 the SNMP manager in the network management station requests the agents of managed network devices on the network  
10 to retrieve selected MIB data indicative of device and link operation, and performs tests for device activity and service availability. Such MIB data may relate to characteristics such as traffic activity or errors occurring at a particular port in the relevant network device. Tests may include sending ICMP Ping requests to each device on the network, or sending selected requests for services such as SMTP, NFS  
15 and DNS to servers, and monitoring the time taken to receive a response. The monitored parameters or characteristics are referred to herein as "stress metrics".

The network management application compares, for each stress metric, the retrieved data or test results against a corresponding threshold level for the stress metric. The  
20 threshold level is the level above which performance is considered to be unacceptable.

Each time a threshold is exceeded, the application generates and logs an "event" in memory. An "event log" stores each event, and includes information such as the date and time of the event, the identity of the device affected and the nature of the event.  
25 The event list thus provides a history of events which have occurred on the network, and the network administrator can review the event list to identify problems on the network.

In addition to events resulting from the monitoring of stress metrics, events may also  
30 be generated by the network management application when other types of condition are detected. For example, a network management application may receive an

asynchronous Trap, for example an SNMP Trap from a managed network device. An SNMP Trap is automatically sent by an SNMP agent to the SNMP manager when certain conditions are detected by the agent in the managed device. Examples of conditions which cause SNMP Traps to be sent include "link up" and "link down".

5 When an SNMP Trap is received by the network management station, the management application may log an event.

An example of a known network management software application capable of determining monitoring the stress of a network is the 3Com<sup>®</sup> Network Supervisor

10 available from 3Com Corporation of Santa Clara, California, USA. This application, and similar applications, uses SNMP commands to retrieve relevant management data from managed network devices, and processes the data as described below.

The event log is the main source of information used by the network administrator in

15 order to identify problems on the network. Accordingly, it will be appreciated that the manner of presentation of events to the network administrator in the event list is important. The network administrator needs to be able to identify problems easily and without having to review a long list of insignificant events.

20 Some network management applications present each event in the event log with a "severity" indication. The severity indication is dependent on the nature of the event and other factors such as the degree to which a stress metric threshold is exceeded. For example, if the threshold for a stress metric is exceeded by a small amount, the severity indication may be "High", if the amount by which the threshold is exceeded

25 is more significant the severity indication may be "Warning" and if the threshold is exceeded by even larger amounts the indication may be "Critical".

Thus, the severity indication enables the network administrator to determine the events which need the most urgent attention. However, this does not address the

30 problem of large numbers of events appearing in the event log.

Another problem encountered by the network administrator in reviewing events in the event log is associated with events generated as a result of an intermittent or "recurring" problem on the network. For example, a problem, such as congestion on a particular link, may occur at certain times of heavy network traffic throughout the day. Each time the link becomes congested, having previously been operating normally, an event is generated. This leads to the event list displaying a large number of identical/equivalent events showing congestion on the link, interspersed between other unconnected events. This can make it difficult for the network administrator to identify and determine that the events indicating congestion on the link are indicative of a single recurring network problem (i.e. a recurring problem on a specific, single network device or link). In addition, the inclusion of a separate event on each occurrence of a recurring problem may obscure other unrelated, yet more significant events.

The present invention seeks to address these problems.

In co-pending UK Patent Application (Attorney reference HRW/3COM3584) filed simultaneously herewith, there is described a method and apparatus in which events generated by a network management system are passed through one or more "event processors" to correlate events prior to presentation in an event list. Each processor is adapted to correlate certain types of events which may be generated as a result of certain conditions or problems on the network. This correlation ensures that the number of events presented in the event list is reduced, by avoiding presenting certain types of event which, generally speaking, are less informative about network conditions and problems to the user.

The present invention provides a method which may be implemented by one such event processor, and an apparatus which may comprise such an event processor.

Generally, the present invention provides a network management apparatus and method which identifies and correlates recurring, that is repeatedly occurring, events.

In accordance with a first aspect, the present invention provides a method for processing events generated by a network management system during the monitoring of a network for presentation in an event list to the user, the method comprising receiving an event, and determining if a predetermined number of equivalent events have been generated in a preceding time period.

If it is determined that a predetermined number of equivalent events have been generated in an immediately preceding time period, the event is considered to be a recurring event.

In a preferred embodiment, if a recurring event is determined, the method generates an event marked as recurring for presentation in the event list. In addition, the method prevents subsequent equivalent events from being presented in the event list to the user. This avoids the presentation of further occurrences of the recurring event to the user.

In one embodiment, if a recurring event is determined following the receiving of the event, the method includes in the event data of the event marked recurring a second time (e.g. a "time stamp") corresponding to the time of the received event to represent the time of the last or most recent occurrence of the event. If a subsequent occurrence of the event is received, this time is updated to the time of the subsequent event.

In accordance with a second aspect, the present invention provides a computer readable medium including a computer program for carrying out the method in accordance with the first aspect of the present invention.

In accordance with a third aspect, the present invention provides a network management apparatus for generating events during the monitoring of a network, and for presenting the generated events in an event list to the user, the apparatus comprising a processor for receiving an event, and for determining if a predetermined number of equivalent events have been generated in a preceding time period.

Embodiments of the present invention will now be described, by way of example, with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which:

5 Figure 1 is a block diagram of a typical network having a network management station which may be employed in accordance with the present invention, and

Figure 2 is a flow diagram illustrating the steps of a computer program for carrying out a method in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention.

10

Figure 1 shows a typical network 1 incorporating a network management system according to a preferred embodiment of the present invention. The network 1 includes a network management station 3A which incorporates the necessary hardware and software for network management. In particular, the network  
15 management station 3A includes a processor, a memory and a disk drive as well as user interfaces such as a keyboard and mouse, and a visual display unit. Network management application software in accordance with the present invention is loaded into the memory of management station 3A for processing data as described in detail below. The network management station 3A is connected by network media links 5  
20 to a plurality of managed network devices including core devices such as network switch 7, hubs 11 and 12, and a router (not shown) which may be managed or unmanaged, and end stations including personal computers (PCs) 3 and workstations. The network may also include unmanaged devices, for example peripheral devices such as printers.

25

The network management station 3A is capable of communicating with the managed network devices such as network switch 7 and hubs 11 and 12 by means of a network management protocol, in the present embodiment the SNMP protocol, in order to obtain network management data. Each managed device includes an SNMP agent  
30 which monitors operational characteristics and stores the monitored data as MIB data

in memory on the device, as is well known in the art, including data relating to *inter alia* data traffic passing through the device.

5 In accordance with the preferred embodiment of the present invention, the network management station 3A monitors a plurality of stress metrics. The stress levels or values for the metrics are obtained by periodically requesting relevant MIB data from hubs 11 and 12 and switch 7, and by periodically polling all network devices using Ping or service requests and monitoring response times.

10 The network management station 3A compares each monitored stress level against a corresponding predetermined threshold level for the stress metric. Each time a threshold is exceeded, the network management station 3A stores details about the monitored stress level in an event log in memory. In particular, the event data stored in the event log includes the time of the event (e.g. by way of a "time stamp"), the  
15 identity of the device concerned, the identity of the stress metric and the severity of the event.

The memory typically stores the event data in the form of a database or similar data file, which stores event data in different time intervals separately. Thus, the database  
20 provides a history of events that have occurred on the network for different time periods. It should be noted that monitored stress levels which do not exceed the threshold are not stored in the event log, in accordance with the preferred embodiment, and the received data about these monitored levels is discarded or overwritten by subsequent monitored stress levels. It will be appreciated that in other  
25 embodiments all monitored stress levels for some or all time intervals may be stored in the database.

A typical list of events recorded in an event log is shown in Table 1 below. Each event listed in the Table represents an event which has been generated as described  
30 above.



Table 1

	Time	Device	Event Condition	Severity
	2.00	switch 7, port 1	link errors	Warning
	2.03	switch 7, port 1	link errors	Warning
5	2.04	switch 7, port 1	link errors	Warning
	2.06	switch 7, port 1	link errors	Warning
	2.08	switch 7, port 1	link errors	Warning
	2.10	hub 11, port 2	link congestion	High
	2.10	switch 7, port 1	link errors	Warning
10	2.14	switch 7, port 1	link errors	Warning
	2.15	hub 11, port 2	device down	High
	2.16	switch 7, port 1	link errors	Warning
	2.20	switch 7, port 1	link errors	Warning

15 In the example illustrated in Table 1, the event log repeatedly logs an error on the link to port 1 of switch 7. Other types of error which may recur repeatedly over time include: repeated congestion on a particular link; slow provision of service by a network server device, and repeated failure by a network device to respond to a management request (e.g. IP Ping request). The skilled person will appreciate that

20 other types of errors may also occur intermittently with time and lead to repeated event generation.

The recurrence of the event in Table 1, indicating errors on the link connected to port 1 of switch 7, represents an intermittent, recurring problem with the link. In

25 particular, in this example, the link is monitored every 30 seconds, and so it can be deduced from the event log that the link was operating normally, for example, between 2.00:30 and 2.02:30 and at 2.05. The event list shown in Table 3 is thus cluttered with events due to this recurring network problem which obscure more significant errors such as the problems with hub 11 at 2.10 and 2.15.

The present invention avoids including so many events, which are repeatedly generated due to an intermittent problem on the network, for presentation in the event list to the user.

5 Figure 2 shows a method in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention. The method is implemented in a computer program forming part of network management software application. It will be appreciated that in other embodiments the method may be implemented in other forms such as in hardware.

10 In particular, the method of the present invention is performed in a network management station in accordance with the present invention. The network management station 3A comprises a processor, a disk drive, memory, and user interfaces including a display screen, keyboard, mouse, and a printer. The computer program described above is typically loaded onto the network management station  
15 using the disk drive and the processor runs the program.

The program illustrated in Figure 2 relates to a particular monitored characteristic for a particular network device. Network management data including the value (level) of the monitored characteristic (stress metric) is retrieved periodically by the network  
20 management software application. For example, the data may be retrieved at regular time intervals of 30 seconds. It will be appreciated that other time intervals are possible.

Thus, at step 110, the program waits for a predefined time period corresponding to the  
25 aforementioned time interval. In the preferred embodiment, the predefined time period is 30 seconds.

At step 120, the program retrieves the value of the monitored characteristic of the relevant network device, and at step 130 considers whether the value is above a  
30 predefined threshold for the monitored characteristic.

It will be appreciated that steps 110 to 130 may be implemented by a conventional network management software application.

5 If step 130 determines that the value received at step 120 is not above the predefined threshold, the program returns to step 110 and waits for 30 seconds before retrieving the next value for the monitored characteristic.

10 If step 130 determines that the value received at step 120 is above the predefined threshold, an event condition has occurred and the program passes data relating to the event condition to step 140 for processing.

15 At step 140, the program considers whether the monitored characteristic for the relevant network device is in a recurring state. In particular, the program considers whether a corresponding existing event appears in the event log which is marked as "recurring". The manner in which events are marked as recurring is described below in relation to steps 150 and 160.

20 If step 140 determines that the monitored characteristic is in a recurring state, the program proceeds to step 180. Otherwise, that is, if step 140 determines that the monitored characteristic is not in a recurring state, the program proceeds to step 150

25 At step 150, the program considers whether there are already  $m$  corresponding existing events in the event log, the oldest of which occurred in the previous time period of  $t_1$  seconds (where  $m$  and  $t_1$  are integer values greater than 0). In the preferred embodiment, the value of  $m = 4$  and the value of  $t_1 = 7200$  (time period = 2 hours). It will be appreciated that other values for  $m$  and  $t_1$  are possible, and contemplated. However,  $m$  is typically in the range of 3 to 7 and  $t_1$  is typically several hours.

30 In particular, at step 150, the program scans the event log for events in which the event data indicates a corresponding event with a time stamp in the immediately

preceding time interval  $t_1$ . It will be appreciated that the instead of scanning data in the event log, the network management application could maintain internal "state" information relating to events in the event log, typically stored as variables or lists for use when processing subsequent events.

5

If step 150 determines that there are already  $m$  corresponding events in the event log, the oldest of which occurred in the preceding  $t_1$  seconds, the program proceeds to step 160. Otherwise, the program proceeds to step 170 by logging the event in the event log. In step 170, the event is logged as a normal event.

10

At step 160, since the event condition has now occurred more than  $m$  times in the last  $t_1$  seconds, the program logs a recurring event. In particular, in the preferred embodiment, the program generates a new event for the monitored value received at step 120 which is marked as "recurring" and logs it in the event log. In addition, an internal state of the monitored characteristic may be marked "recurring", e.g. by setting a variable to indicate a recurring state. As the skilled person will appreciate, an event may be marked as in a recurring state in a number of different ways, but in the preferred embodiment, the severity indication in the event data is given accorded a special state or code, which appears in the event list as "recurring".

20

In addition, the event data for recurring events may include an additional time stamp to indicate the most recent occurrence of the event, as will be appreciated from the following description.

25

Following step 160, the program returns to step 110.

30

Returning to step 140, if step 140 determines that the monitored value is in a recurring state, then, at step 180, the program scans the event log and considers whether the event condition has occurred more than  $n$  times in the previous time period  $t_2$  (where  $n$  and  $t_2$  are integer values greater than 0). In the preferred embodiment,  $n = 1$  and  $t_2 = 14400$  seconds (time period = 4 hours). The skilled person will appreciate that other

values for n and t2 are possible, and, like m and t1 should be chosen according to the operating characteristics of the network management software application and depending upon the type of monitored characteristic involved. Again, it will be appreciated that instead of scanning the event log, the network management application could maintain internal "state" information relating to events in the event log, typically stored as variables or lists for use when processing subsequent events.

If step 180 determines that the event condition has occurred more than n times in the preceding time period t2, then it is considered that the event remains a recurring event and the program proceeds to step 200. Alternatively, if step 180 determines that the event condition has not occurred more than n times in the preceding time period t2, then the event is no longer considered to be a recurring event and the program proceeds to step 190.

At step 200, the program may not generate an event in response to the event condition indicated by the monitored value retrieved by step 120, but instead ignores the event condition, for presentation purposes. However, the event data is logged in memory in the preferred embodiment, so that the data can be looked at during subsequent scanning steps 150 or 180. In this case the data is hidden from the user, and not presented in the event list. In the preferred embodiment, in addition to ignoring the event condition, the program updates the additional time stamp in the recurring event with the current time, so that the additional time stamp indicates the most recent time that the event condition occurred.

Following step 200, the program returns to step 110.

At step 190, the program logs an event in the event log. A normal event is logged for the monitored value received at step 120, that is, it is not marked in the recurring state. Thus, in the preferred embodiment, the severity of the event is recorded in the conventional manner depending upon the value and the threshold. In addition, if the

state of the monitored characteristic is maintained internally at step 190 it is changed from recurring to non-recurring.

Following step 190, the program returns to step 110.

5

The following Table 2 illustrates the events that will appear in the event list when the program illustrated in Figure 2 is applied to the data relating to the events received and illustrated in Table 1 above.

10

Table 2

	Time	Device	Event Condition	Severity
	2.00	switch 7, port 1	link errors	Warning
	2.03	switch 7, port 1	link errors	Warning
	2.04	switch 7, port 1	link errors	Warning
15	2.06	switch 7, port 1	link errors	Warning
	2.08	switch 7, port 1	link errors	Recurring
	2.10	hub 11, port 2	link congestion	High
	2.15	hub 11, port 2	device down	High

20

Thus, it can be seen that the number of events relating to link errors on the link to port 1 of Switch 7 is reduced, due to the fifth occurrence of the event condition at 2.08 being marked as a recurring event. In addition, since subsequent occurrences do not appear in the event list, the unrelated events at 2.10 and 2.15 are no longer obscured by individually logged instances of the recurring event condition. The network administrator is therefore provided with a better indication of the state of the network.

25

As the skilled person will appreciate, various modifications and changes may be made to the described embodiments.

For example, steps 180 and 190 of the preferred embodiment may be omitted. Thus, if step 140 determines that the monitored characteristic is in a recurring state, the program will simply proceed to step 200 and ignore the event condition.

5 As an alternative to step 160, the program may instead ignore the event condition resulting from the value received at step 120, and instead update the most recent of the m existing events by marking it as recurring, or changing its severity indication to recurring. In addition, a time stamp may be added to the data from that event, indicating the current time and thus the time of the most recent occurrence of the  
10 event condition.

The present invention is not limited to use in relation to monitored characteristics in which an event condition arises if a monitored value exceeds a predefined threshold. Rather steps 140 to 200 of the preferred embodiment can be adapted for use with  
15 other types of monitored characteristics.

It is intended to include all such variations, modifications and equivalents which fall within the scope of the present invention as defined in the accompanying claims.

CLAIMS:

1. A method for processing network management data in a network management system which generates events, for presentation in an event list to a user, when event conditions are detected during the monitoring of a network, the method comprising receiving network management data relating to an event condition, and determining whether a predetermined number of equivalent events have been generated in a preceding time period.
2. A method as claimed in claim 1, wherein, if it is determined that the predetermined number of equivalent events have been generated in the preceding time period, the method further comprises generating a recurring event.
3. A method as claimed in claim 2, further comprising receiving data relating to a subsequent occurrence of the event condition, and preventing a subsequent event from being presented in the event list to the user.
4. A method as claimed in claim 3, further comprising adding a time stamp to the event data of the recurring event, the time stamp indicating the time of the subsequent occurrence of the event condition.
5. A method as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, wherein the preceding time period is an immediately preceding predetermined time period.
6. A method as claimed in claim 5, wherein data relating to an event is recorded in an event storage and includes the time of the event, the step of determining whether a predetermined number of equivalent events have been generated in a preceding time period comprising: determining the number of equivalent events in the event storage having a time within the predetermined time period, and comparing the determined number with the predetermined number.



7. A method as claimed in claim 1, wherein, if it is determined that a predetermined number of equivalent events have been generated in the preceding time period, the method places one of the equivalent events in a recurring state and prevents the received data relating to the event condition from being presented in the event list to the user.

8. A method for processing network management data in a network management system which generates events, for presentation in an event list to a user, when event conditions are detected during the monitoring of a network, the method comprising receiving network management data relating to an event condition; determining whether the monitored characteristic for the event condition is in a recurring state, and processing the data according to whether the monitored characteristic for the event condition is in a recurring state.

9. A method as claimed in claim 8, wherein the step of determining whether the monitored characteristic for the event condition is in a recurring state comprises considering whether a recurring event in relation to the event condition is present in the event list, and if so, determining that the monitored characteristic for the event condition is in a recurring state.

10. A method as claimed in claim 8 or claim 9, wherein, if it is determined that the monitored characteristic for the event condition is in a recurring state, the method further comprises determining whether the event condition has occurred more than a first predetermined number of times in a first preceding time period.

11. A method as claimed in claim 10, wherein, if it is determined that the event condition has occurred more than the first predetermined number of times in the first preceding time period, the method further comprises preventing the received data relating to the event condition from being presented in the event list to the user.

12. A method as claimed in claim 10 or claim 11, further comprising adding to event data of the event in the recurring state the time of the received data relating to the event condition.

5 13. A method as claimed in claim 10, wherein, if it is determined that the event condition has not occurred more than the first predetermined number of times in the first immediately preceding time period, the method further comprises generating an event for presentation in the event list to the user.

10 14. A method as claimed in claim 13, wherein the generated event is not a recurring event.

15 15. A method as claimed in any one of claims 8 to 14, wherein if it is determined that the monitored characteristic for the event condition is not in a recurring state, the method further comprises determining whether a second predetermined number of equivalent events have been generated in a second preceding time period.

20 16. A method as claimed in claim 15, wherein, if it is determined that the second predetermined number of equivalent events have been generated in the second preceding time period, the method further comprises generating a recurring event.

25 17. A method as claimed in claim 16, wherein, after the step of generating a recurring event, the method further comprises receiving data relating to a subsequent occurrence of the event condition, and preventing a subsequent event from being presented in the event list to the user.

30 18. A method as claimed in claim 17, further comprising, after the step of receiving data relating to a subsequent occurrence of the event condition, adding a time stamp to the event data of the recurring event, the time stamp indicating the time of the subsequent occurrence of the event condition.

19. A method as claimed in claim 15, wherein, if it is determined that the second predetermined number of equivalent events have not been generated in the second preceding time period, the method further comprises generating an event for presentation in the event list to the user.

5

20. A method as claimed in any one of claims 10 to 19, wherein the first and/or second preceding time period is an immediately preceding predetermined time period.

21. A method for processing network management data substantially as hereinbefore described, with reference to, and as shown in, the accompanying drawings.

10

22. A computer readable medium including a computer program for carrying out the method as defined in any one of the preceding claims.

15

23. A network management apparatus for monitoring a network and for processing network management data and generating events, for presentation in an event list to a user, when event conditions are detected, the apparatus comprising a processor for receiving network management data relating to an event condition, and for determining whether a predetermined number of equivalent events have been generated in a preceding time period

20

24. A network management apparatus for monitoring a network and for processing network management data and generating events, for presentation in an event list to a user, when event conditions are detected, the apparatus comprising a processor for receiving network management data relating to an event condition and for determining whether the monitored characteristic for the event condition is in a recurring state, and processing the data according to whether the monitored characteristic for the event condition is in a recurring state.

25

30

25. A network management apparatus substantially as hereinbefore described with reference to, and as illustrated by, the accompanying drawings.

ABSTRACT  
APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR PROCESSING DATA RELATING TO  
EVENTS ON A NETWORK

5     A network management apparatus and method processes network management data  
received during the monitoring of a network and generates events for presentation in  
an event list to a user when certain event conditions are detected. Network  
management data received in relation to an event condition is processed to determine  
whether it represents a recurring event condition. A recurring event condition is  
10   determined if a predetermined number of equivalent events have been generated, and  
appear in the event list, in an immediately preceding time period. In the described  
embodiment, if a recurring event condition occurs, a recurring event is generated, and  
subsequent occurrences of the event condition are not included in the event list  
presented to the user.

This Page Blank (uspto)





FIGURE 1

This Page Blank (uspto)



FIG 2



**This Page Blank (uspto)**